

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,549	08/05/2003	Scott T. Bergstrom	502239	6032
23626 75	590 01/31/2006		EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD. (ROCKFORD OFFICE)			GUIDOTTI, LAURA COLE	
•	NTIAL PLAZA, SUITE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	TESTON AVENUE	1744		
CHICAGO, IL	. 60601-6780		DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	33 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/634,549	BERGSTROM, SCOTT T.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Laura C. Guidotti	1744				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Au	<u>ugust 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	, ,					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		d in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d				
	ree the attached detailed Office action for a list t	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (					
_	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	noncappiloation (FTO+192)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-8, drawn to a rotary for use in cleaning pipes, classified in class
   subclass 104.31.
- II. Claims 9-16, drawn to an end bit, classified in class 15, subclass 104.001.
- III. Claims 17-21, drawn to a method for improving the operation of a rotary cutter, classified in class 134, subclass 8.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a plurality of carbide chips or solder material securing the carbide chips together. The subcombination has separate utility such as being on any sort of rotary cutter and is not limited to having a surface engaging mass that has a second material that is harder than a first the end bit is not required to be attached to a linkage, and further it is not limited to a chain root cutter.

Inventions I or II, and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1)

Art Unit: 1744

the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using requires skid fans, a rotor, a support housing, linkages, a motor, an end bit comprising a plurality of chips, and the chips of a first material harder than the support structure all of which are not required by either product of group I or II.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura C. Guidotti whose telephone number is (571) 272-1272. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am - 5pm, alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/634,549 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LCG